

Message

From: Dan Pope [DPope@css-inc.com]
Sent: 9/7/2017 5:18:17 PM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]; Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]; Henning, Loren [Henning.Loren@epa.gov]; Wayne Miller [Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov]; Jennings, Eleanor [Eleanor.Jennings@parsons.com]; Eleanor Jennings [ejennings@teci.pro]; Brasaemle, Karla [Karla.Brasaemle@TechLawInc.com]; Cosler, Doug [Doug.Cosler@TechLawInc.com]
CC: Fairbanks, Brianna [Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Final WAFB ST012 letter 090517.docx

Bo and Eva's comments on this EBR implementation being a very complex system is very true, which is why I think we need to focus strongly on COC concentrations in GW and LNAPL for evaluation, milestones, etc. If we focus strongly on all these other geochemical/microbiological factors, we can kill millions of trees (or electrons) and just go round and round arguing about a million details.